

IN THE COURT OF APPEALS OF THE STATE OF IDAHO

Docket No. 35100

STATE OF IDAHO,)	2008 Unpublished Opinion No. 645
)	
Plaintiff-Respondent,)	Filed: September 12, 2008
)	
v.)	Stephen W. Kenyon, Clerk
)	
TERRY MICHAEL JONES, aka JUSTIN A.)	THIS IS AN UNPUBLISHED
MAYAGG; JERRY LEE WORLEY,)	OPINION AND SHALL NOT
)	BE CITED AS AUTHORITY
Defendant-Appellant.)	
)	

Appeal from the District Court of the Fourth Judicial District, State of Idaho, Ada County. Hon. Cheri C. Copsey, District Judge.

Judgment of conviction and unified sentence of fourteen years, with a minimum period of confinement of three years, for forgery, affirmed.

Molly J. Huskey, State Appellate Public Defender; Eric D. Fredericksen, Deputy Appellate Public Defender, Boise, for appellant.

Hon. Lawrence G. Wasden, Attorney General; Lori A. Fleming, Deputy Attorney General, Boise, for respondent.

PER CURIAM

Terry Michael Jones, aka Justin A. Mayagg and Jerry Lee Worley, pled guilty to two counts of forgery. I.C. § 18-3601. In exchange for his guilty pleas, additional charges were dismissed, and the state agreed not to pursue an allegation that Jones was a persistent violator. The district court sentenced Jones to concurrent unified terms of fourteen years, with minimum periods of confinement of three years. Jones appeals.

Sentencing is a matter for the trial court's discretion. Both our standard of review and the factors to be considered in evaluating the reasonableness of the sentence are well established and need not be repeated here. *See State v. Hernandez*, 121 Idaho 114, 117-18, 822 P.2d 1011, 1014-15 (Ct. App. 1991); *State v. Lopez*, 106 Idaho 447, 449-51, 680 P.2d 869, 871-73 (Ct. App. 1984); *State v. Toohill*, 103 Idaho 565, 568, 650 P.2d 707, 710 (Ct. App. 1982). When reviewing the length of a sentence, we consider the defendant's entire sentence. *State v. Oliver*, 144 Idaho

722, 726, 170 P.3d 387, 391 (2007). Applying these standards, and having reviewed the record in this case, we cannot say that the district court abused its discretion.

Therefore, Jones's judgment of conviction and sentence are affirmed.